

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/697,941	WHETSEL, LEE D.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	VINH P NGUYEN	2829

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) VINH P NGUYEN.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Bassuk.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . . . .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner discussed with Mr. Bassuk about inserting "includes" after "selector circuit" for improving the clarity (see Examiner's amendment)*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)